UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/517,102	12/07/2004	Takayuki Abe	1141/73569	1040
23432 COOPER & DU	7590 05/08/200 JNHAM, LLP	EXAMINER		
30 Rockefeller 1 20th Floor		LUONG, PETER		
NEW YORK, NY 10112			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3737	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/08/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/517,102	ABE ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Peter Luong	3737		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOWN THE MAILING DOWN THE MAILING DOWN THE MAILING DOWN THE MENT OF THE M	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Ja This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 and 11-14 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 and 11-14 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate		

Application/Control Number: 10/517,102 Page 2

Art Unit: 3737

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

- 1. Claim 12 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Claim 12 is a substantial duplicate of claim 3.
- 2. Claims 1-8 and 11-14 are objected to because of the following informalities: in claim 1 "the slice encode" lacks antecedent basis, in claims 3-7 and 11-14 it is unclear as to what further structural limitation is set forth, in claims 4-5 "the origin of the k-space" and "the frequency encoding direction" lack antecedent basis, in claim 11 "the blood images" and "the contrast agent" lack antecedent basis. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1-3, 6-9 and 11-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Vu (US 6,968,225).

Application/Control Number: 10/517,102 Page 3

Art Unit: 3737

5. The patent of Vu discloses a magnetic resonance imaging apparatus (abstract) comprising an imaging means for applying high-frequency fields and gradient magnetic fields to an object in a static magnetic field (10), a signal processing means for forming images (20a), a display means for displaying the images (12), and a control means for controlling the imaging means and signal processing means (32); wherein said imaging means is provided with a 2D monitoring mode and a 3D measurement mode (col. 4, line 63 to col. 5, line 30), said control means includes mode switching means for switching from 2D to 3D modes (col. 4, lines 27-32; col. 5, lines 27-30; col. 6, lines 55-64), and wherein the dynamic measurement performed by said imaging means is blood imaging (col. 6, lines 42-67), where the slice encode is omitted in the 2D monitoring mode and is added in the 3D measurement mode (col. 4, line 58 to col. 5, line 30). (See fig. 4; col. 7, lines 1-30). Vu further discloses switching from 2D to 3D modes based on reference data (signal intensity of contrast agent; col. 6, lines 42-67).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation

Application/Control Number: 10/517,102

Art Unit: 3737

under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Page 4

- 8. Claims 4-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vu (US 6,968,225).
- 9. Vu discloses the subject matter substantially as claimed except for wherein the reference data is a signal value of the origin of k-space or an integration of data in the frequency encoding direction including the origin of k-space or a difference of a signal value of k-space.
- 10. However, Vu teaches that the reference data is the change of signal intensity due to the arrival of the contrast agent, which teaches determining the difference of signal values. Although Vu does not teach the specifics of the calculations performed from k-space data, one of ordinary skill in the art would be able to do the calculations within k-space, thereby reducing a step of converting the k-space data to real space then perform the calculation. It is within the skill level of one of ordinary skill in the art to convert data from k-space to real space and vice versa. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the calculation of the reference data to that of the values in k-space to reduce a step in calculation.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-9 and 11-14 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter Luong whose telephone number is (571)270-1609. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 9:30 a.m. - 6:00 p.m., EST.

Application/Control Number: 10/517,102 Page 6

Art Unit: 3737

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Casler can be reached on (571) 272-4956. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/BRIAN CASLER/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3737

/P. L./ Examiner, Art Unit 3737